Conference on Brazilian-Norwegian Energy Research 28 October 2020



The Ocean as a Solution for Climate Change: 5 Opportunities for Action

The High Level Panel for a Sustainable Ocean Economy.

Finn Gunnar Nielsen professor Geophysical Institute Bergen Offshore Wind Centre

ittps://www.uib.no/en/bow



UNIVERSITETET I BERGEN

High Level Panel for a Sustainable Ocean



Economy (HLP)

- Established September 2018
- Consists of the presidents or prime ministers of Australia, Canada, Chile, Fiji, Ghana, Indonesia, Jamaica, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Namibia, Norway, Palau and Portugal.
- Supported by an Expert Group, Advisory Network and Secretariat that assist with analytical work, communications and stakeholder engagement.
- Secretariat at World Resources Institute.
- <u>http://www.oceanpanel.org/climate</u>





Ove Hoegh-Guldberg

EXPERT AUTHORS:

Ken Caldeira, Thierry Chopin, Steve Gaines, Peter Haugan, Mark Hemer, Jennifer Howard, Manaswita Konar, Dorte Krause-Jensen, Elizabeth Lindstad, Catherine E. Lovelock, Mark Michelin, Finn Gunnar Nielsen, Eliza Northrop, Robert Parker, Joyashree Roy, Tristan Smith, Shreya Some, and Peter Tyedmers



The Ocean: From Victim to Solution



Actions considered



CO₂ mitigation potential from:

- 1. Ocean-based renewable energy
- 2. Ocean-based transport
- 3. Coastal and marine ecosystems
- 4. Fishery, aquaculture and dietary shifts
- 5. Carbon storage in the seabed

Figure ES-1. Ocean-based Mitigation Options Explored in This Report and Associated Annual Mitigation Potential in 2050





The mitigation gap







Source: Adapted from UNEP 2018, Climate Action Tracker (2018).

FGN oct 2020

SIDE 5

Life-cycle emissions



Table 4. Estimated Life-Cycle Emissions of Energy Generation Technologies

| ENERGY TECHNOLOGY | LIFECYCLE CARBON EMISSIONS KG CO ₂ E/KWH | LIFECYCLE CARBON EMISSION RELATIVE TO CURRENT MIX (%) |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Coal | 1.0 (0.67-1.7) | 217 |
| Natural Gas | 0.476 (0.31-0.99) | 103 |
| Current mix | 0.46 | - |
| Solar PV | 0.054 (0.019-0.2) | 12 |
| Concentrated Solar Power | 0.025 (0.007-0.24) | 5.4 |
| Nuclear | 0.016 (0.008-0.22) | 3.5 |
| Onshore wind | 0.012 (0.002-0.088) | 2.6 |
| Offshore wind | 0.012 (0.005-0.024) | 2.6 |
| Ocean | 0.008 (0.002-0.022) | 1.7 |
| 0 | | |



Source: OpenEl, 2019

FGN oct Note: Bracketed values represent the range of reported emissions.

Ocean based renewable energy. ••• = Offshore wind (OSW) + Ocean Renewables (ORE)







Basis:



- Electricity and heat generation: 25% of GHG emissions
- Electricity demand increases significantly.
 - Gross el demand in 2050 estimated to 47 000 TWh/y.
- Mitigation potential:
 - 1.0 kg CO_{2e}/kWh by replacing
 - 0.46 kg CO_{2e}/kWh global average electricity generation.



The CO₂ mitigation potential



Figure ES-4. Contribution of Five Ocean-based Climate Action Areas to Mitigating Climate Change in 2050 (Maximum GtCO_e)



Notes: * To stay under a 1.5°C change relative to pre-industrial levels

FGN oct 2020

SIDE 9

Hoegh-Guldberg. O., et al. 2019. "The Ocean as a Solution to Climate Change: Five Opportunities for Action." Report. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute. Available online at http://www.oceanpanel.org/climate



Energy resource estimates

Figure 5. Geophysical, Technical, Economic and Social/Political Potential of Wind or other Energy Resources across the Global Ocean



Source: Adapted from Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2019.



FGN oct 2020 SIDE 11

Impact on SDGs



Figure ES-5. Summary of Wider Impact of Ocean-based interventions on Sustainable Development Dimensions





Source: Authors

FGN oct 2020

UK gov. cost estimates for 2025





FGN oct 2020

SIDE 13

Source: CarbonBrief 27.08.2020.

Numbers from UK dept of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, Aug 2020



UK gov. cost estimates for 2040 140 125 120 100 82 80 £/MWh 60 44 40 40 33 20 0 CCGT H Class Offshore Wind Large-Scale Solar CCGT + CCUS Post Onshore Wind Combustion (NOAK) Pre-development Construction Fixed O+M

Fuel

CO2 Capture and Storage Decomissioning and waste

ANVERST, PS

Source: UK dept of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, Aug 2020

Carbon

FGN oct 2020

SIDE 14

Variable O+M





PRESENT DEPLOYMENT RATE TOO SLOW. NEEEDS:

- Incentives (e.g. carbon taxes)
- Marine spatial planning and legislation incl. grid
- National targets and strategies
- Stable economic and regulatory framework



Research and technology needs

- Understand ecological impacts
- Map global potential
- Explore benefits of colocation
- Explore potential for ocean base solar PV

- Advance storage capacity
- Improve performance and reliability while reducing costs
- Develop deep water technologies
- Piloting



Summary



- Significant GHG mitigation potential from Ocean renewables.
- **OSW:** Mature, significant up-scaling expected.
- **Tidal stream and range:** Technology available, geographical limited.
- Waves: Large potential, Several systems tested.
- Floating solar: From water reservoirs to ocean area.
- Ocean thermal: Tropical area.
- Salinity gradient: Laboratory scale.
- ACTIVE POLICIES NEEDED







UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN Bergen Offshore Wind Centre



Wide range of estimates



Table 3. Summary of Energy Scenarios Reviewed for Ocean-based Renewable Energy

| SCENARIO | OSW GENERATION (TWH/YR) | ORE GENERATION (TWH/YR) |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2018 (30) (Bahar, 2019) | 53 | 1.2 |
| 2050 Reference (50). Same fraction as current, for assumed 2050 electricity demand of 50,000 TWh | 112 | 2.5 |
| 2050 Drawdown Reference (50) (Project Drawdown, 2017) | 57.2 | 2.1 |
| 2050 IEA WEO 2009 (45) (IEA, 2009) | 555 | 25 |
| 2050 Teske (Reference (45) (Teske et al. 2011) | 805 | 25 |
| 2050 IEA RTS (40) (IEA, 2017) | 651 | 108 |
| 2050 ETP BLUE MAP (14) (IEA, 2010) | 1568 | 133 |
| 2050 IEA 2DS (13) (IEA, 2017) | 1436 | 536 |
| 2050 Teske E[R] (10) (Teske et al. 2011) | 2711 | 678 |
| 2050 IEA B2DS (4.7) (IEA, 2017) | 1531 | 637 |
| 2050 Teske Adv E[R] (3.7) (Teske et al. 2011) | 3469 | 1943 |
| 2050 DRAWDOWN Plausible (Project Drawdown, 2017) | 2078 | 1486 |
| 2050 DRAWDOWN (Project Drawdown, 2017) | 3029 | 1745 |
| 2050 DRAWDOWN Optimum (Project Drawdown, 2017) | 3159 | 1823 |
| 2050 OES Vision (OES, 2017) | - | 1051 |
| 2050 IRENA (IRENA, 2018a) | 1822 | |



FGN oct 2020

Source: Authors

SIDE

Note: OSW = Offshore wind; ORE = Ocean-based renewable energy.