UNIVERSITETET I BERGEN

# Dokumentasjon fra kartleggingskaféer KMD



UNIVERSITETET I BERGEN

# Kunstakademiet



	Utdanning	Samspill	Forskning
Styrker	<ul> <li>Individuality, close contact and autonomy in the programme</li> <li>Trust (from management)</li> <li>Research and practice based teaching undervisning</li> <li>The BA og MA programmes</li> <li>Interdisciplinarity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Short distance to the professional field</li> <li>Active on relevant arenas</li> <li>Link to art institutions in Bergen and internationally</li> <li>Competent and international staff</li> <li>Dialogue / trust between students, peers, tutors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Open-minded</li> <li>Open for experiment / new collaborations</li> <li>We have a diverse staff with different fields</li> <li>High research percentage in contracts</li> </ul>
Svakheter	<ul> <li>Lack of space and storage</li> <li>Lack of and variable presence (staff and students)</li> <li>Lack of admin support for teachers</li> <li>Lack of resources / staff in the workshops and access to workshops</li> <li>Students lack prior knowledge when they start their studies</li> <li>Too much focus on subjects (emner) and too little focus on developing practical competences / skills</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unsure if art is understood or taken seriously at UiB</li> <li>Lack of overview of staff activities and functions</li> <li>Lack of outreach and communication between KMD and UiB</li> <li>Lack of openness</li> <li>Individual networks (are hidden)</li> <li>Lack a strategy for increasing diversity and inclusion</li> <li>Time to develop cooperation, long term /outside the field</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Language / local focus</li> <li>Small fields of research, feeling alone / isolated</li> <li>Far away from the rest of the world</li> <li>Lite strategisk styrt, vanskelig nasjonalt + internasjonalt + tverrfakultært</li> <li>Lack of supportive / strategic leadership (in research)</li> <li>Disorganization + lack of clarity + leadership within planning and teacing</li> <li>Instituttleder</li> <li>Forskningsleder</li> <li>Personalansvar</li> <li>Forskningsledelse – forvaltning av forskningen – synergi</li> <li>Forskningsledelse – kompetanse</li> <li>Kompetanse – prosjektledelse stab</li> </ul>
Muligheter	<ul> <li>Increase diversity</li> <li>Utilise the high levels of engagement more</li> <li>Access to studyprogrammes across the department</li> <li>Connect teaching and research together more</li> <li>Collaborate more about teaching and increase teaching competence</li> <li>PhD and realted structures – holistic view</li> <li>External financing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Work cross disciplinary, integrate art within scientific research</li> <li>Common events that are attractive to the public</li> <li>Seek national and international collaboration</li> <li>Better information</li> <li>Potential to use international staff's networks</li> <li>Include society in processes/workshops</li> <li>Establish unformal arenas/meeting points for art and design</li> <li>Establish classes within Continuing Education (EVU)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Possibility to work in many fields / directions transdisciplinary</li> <li>Experimental freedom – work outside the box</li> <li>Interdiciplinary, crossdiciplinary -&gt; both within department and faculty</li> <li>Crossdiciplinary (embedded withing the university)</li> </ul>
Trusler	<ul> <li>Use of canvas and the tool in itself</li> <li>Too many students</li> <li>Too much focus on the undividuak which can lead to a lack of flexibility and the programme may not «survive»</li> <li>Interdisciplinary vs need for depth</li> <li>Lack of art specific focus on study quality</li> <li>Finance and marketorientation</li> <li>Mental health for students and lack of support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Funding at government level and the education system</li> <li>Temporary positions /lack of presence at department arenas</li> <li>Lack of interest of cooperation and resistance of sharing</li> <li>Not participating in the public discourse</li> <li>Lack of local art criticism (focus on Oslo)</li> <li>Lack of dissemination of artistic activity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Allocation of time for research</li> <li>Too much admin / surveys / obligations -&gt; more work (admin) than play (research)</li> </ul>

### UTDANNING

Innspill

#### Styrker:

- Individuality, close contact and autonomy in the programme
- Trust ( from management)
- Research and practice based teaching
- undervisning
- The BA og MA programmes
- Interdisciplinarity

#### Svakheter:

- Lack of space and storage
  Lack of and variable
- presence (staff and students)
- Lack of admin support for teachers
- Lack of resources / staff in the workshops and acces to workshops
- Students lack prior knowledge when they sta their studies
- Too much focus on subjects ( emner) and too little focus on developing practical competences / skills

## Muligheter:

- Increase diversity
  Utilise the high leve
- Otilise the high levels of engagement more
- Access to studyprogrammes
   across the department
- Connect teaching and
   research together more
- Collaborate more about teaching and increase
- teaching competencePhD and realted structures
- holistic view
   External financing

#### Trusler:

Use of canvas and the tool

•

Organise joint lessons

, close contact ny in the management) d practice ing g MA programmes narity	<ul> <li>Individual tutor(ing) and student contact, they have their own tutor for year 1 on BA, individual student projects</li> <li>Autonomy and openness (own organisation and research)</li> <li>Access to resources: courses, diverse staff, tutoring and stipend</li> <li>Study trips</li> <li>Interdisciplinarity</li> <li>Freedom to conduct international research / support</li> <li>Generous opening hours for students</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contact between students and tutors ( tutor-groups)         <ul> <li>Good teacher –student ratio</li> </ul> </li> <li>BA og MA         <ul> <li>Good assessment process</li> <li>Strong identity as a cohort through jpint exhibitions ( shows) for each class</li> </ul> </li> <li>Institute ensures the particular character of visual art education</li> <li>Courses combine conceptual / contextual and mediumspecific content</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Management has a high level of trust in teachers, autonomi in designin cpurses, fleksibility</li> <li>Researchbased teaching and practical teaching</li> <li>Interdisciplinarity</li> <li>In depth learning vs diversification</li> <li>Hybrid practices</li> <li>Individual feedback</li> <li>Engagement and competences among staff</li> <li>Workshop facilities</li> <li>Social: an artcommunity in Norwegian context</li> </ul>
er: 2e and storage variable taff and in support for urces / staff in ps and access s k prior when they start cuss on subjects d too little focus ng practical s / skills	<ul> <li>Space, studios are too small and not enough storage space</li> <li>Lack of «preschools», students have little prior knowledge</li> <li>At PhD ses på på fakultetsnivå</li> <li>Too many admin tasks</li> <li>Lack of fair and transparent distribution of resources and transparent education structures</li> <li>Communication         <ul> <li>Between levels and academic and admin staff</li> <li>Lack of plaster and ceramic technicians / wortkshopmasters</li> <li>In depth learning in workshops, lack of in depth modules and developing of skills</li> <li>Access to the workshops is difficult due to HMS restraints</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The courses / subjects are too important and get too much input         <ul> <li>Not enough focus on developing practical comptences and skills</li> </ul> </li> <li>Lack of administrative support at the institute, educational support « from a distance»         <ul> <li>A lot of responsibility and tasks for the individual teacher</li> </ul> </li> <li>Workshopmanagers are overworked an lack resources, they are not acknowledged for teaching and do not take part in teaching planning         <ul> <li>No teaching in wood / metal workshops, teachers can't use the equipment due to HMS</li> </ul> </li> <li>Unclear / inefficient comunication channels in sensitive student cases (SiFra?)</li> <li>Do BA students who apply for MA get through too easily?</li> <li>Organisation of student workplaces and fasilities</li> <li>Limited «take away» from studiobarometeret due to lack of responses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presence (students and staff)         <ul> <li>Inconsistent contributions</li> <li>Lack of continuity</li> <li>Vulnerable, dependent on individuals</li> </ul> </li> <li>Different degrees of engagement among staff, clarify expectations</li> <li>Lack of onboarding / training for new staff</li> <li>Unclear assessmentcriteria</li> <li>Competence guide (PED og PhD)</li> <li>Fragmentation</li> <li>Unclear management lines and slow processes (changes take too much time)</li> <li>Reproduction of social groups</li> <li>Lack of art history component and context in current structure</li> <li>Lack of sharing culture *</li> <li>Workplannng and linemanagement</li> <li>Re-use more</li> <li>Careerdevelopment</li> <li>Information and communication;; dates, / deadlines, events etc ( between staff)</li> <li>Lack of practicval information ( budgets etc)</li> </ul>
er: ersity igh levels of t more udyprogrammes lepartment ching and gether more more about d increase mpetence alted structures w ancing	<ul> <li>Opportunities to study at other institutes</li> <li>Increase teaching competence through UiBS own pedagogical courses</li> <li>Research based teaching and get research «into» the teaching</li> <li>Student initiatives         <ul> <li>Gallery / exhibitions</li> <li>Fasilities (f.eks radio project/ podcast)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Open up for more eksperimenting</li> <li>Open up the departments COMPLETELY, «field stations», create research</li> <li>More collaboration across the faculties at UiB and with other institutions (competitors)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase diversity         <ul> <li>Staff</li> <li>Students, contribute to critical questions in art practice</li> </ul> </li> <li>Holistic view on PhD and relating structures (fakulty and nationally)         <ul> <li>Difficult for PhD students to understand the admin.systems and they don't get enough support with that</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop the pedagogical discourse         <ul> <li>More training to increase competence relating to feedback, as tutor for BA, MA og PHD</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop support to students with different diagnoses</li> <li>Develop specific quality parametres for art education</li> <li>More examples and support in activist and critical art practies (BA, MA)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High levels of engagement</li> <li>External financing (Nordplus, Erasmus m.m)</li> <li>More collaboration in teaching         <ul> <li>More research in teaching</li> <li>Interdisciplinary</li> <li>Sensitivity for different approaches to teaching, «decolonisation» of teaching and learning</li> <li>Focus on sustainable materials</li> <li>The art school as a «tool of resistance», conservatism, rasism, xenophobia, transphobia, agism</li> </ul> </li> <li>Workshop cutture</li> <li>Onboarding (new employees)</li> <li>Possibility: we are «at the edge of the world»</li> </ul>
	Canvas / communications tool between students and staff     Efficient acces to resources for example workshops	<ul> <li>Too many students</li> <li>Too general and formalistic focus on quality without an art specific</li> </ul>	Too much focus on the individual, the programme can « fall apart»     Lack of flexibility to respond to changes

Too much focus on interdisciplining, need room for depth in the

perspective

# SAMSPILL

# Innspill

Styrker           • Short distance to the professional field           • Active on relevant arenas           • Link to art institutions in Bergen and internationally           • Competent and international staff           • Dialogue / trust between students, peers, tutors	<ul> <li>Possibility to quickly incorporate external projects into teaching and research</li> <li>Local interaction: Short distance to the professional field</li> <li>Open minded and welcoming behaviour coming from the faculty towards staff, students and outside projects</li> <li>"Monday lectures" – investment in an international scene + involvement of students within this initiative</li> <li>High rate of artists professionalization after study</li> <li>Kunshall and Bergen Kjett collaboration for the MA Program</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff participation on many arenas that are relevant</li> <li>Artistic staff is very active in the general international art scene</li> <li>Good link to art institutions in Bergen</li> <li>Competent staff</li> <li>A highly international group of staff</li> <li>Active, curious, motivated students</li> <li>Institutions communicate</li> </ul>	Connection with the field is good     Stor kontaktflate i feltet utenfor KMD/UiB     Regional/national profile     Art is in society     Trust between tutor and students     Dialogue with students and peers     Tverrfaglighet / inter/multidisciplinary artistry     Under one roof (art and design)
<ul> <li>Svakheter</li> <li>Unsure if art is understood or taken seriously at UiB</li> <li>Lack of overview of staff activities and functions</li> <li>Lack of outreach and communication between KMD and UiB</li> <li>Lack of openness</li> <li>Individual networks (are hidden)</li> <li>Lack a strategy for increasing diversity and inclusion</li> <li>Time to develop cooperation, long term /outside the field</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Understanding of art in other faculties at UIB</li> <li>Art is not taken seriously as research tool within UIB</li> <li>Physical and mental divide between art academy and UIB</li> <li>Lack of outreach from KMD to UIB in the relation to artistic research capabilities and opportunities</li> <li>Lack of communication with the faculty (between staff, admin and students and the entire UIB)</li> <li>Local interaction: Low percentage in traditional public arenas like BT, NRK – lav deltakelse i det offentlige ordskiftet</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of overview of all activities and functions across institutes – where to find info?</li> <li>Overall system that does not facilitate but stifle communication and give more workload and less overview (limits spread and attention)</li> <li>UB/KMD are not aware of the staff activity</li> <li>Lacking diversity, there is not an explicit priority to support students, staff, faculty of diverse demographics – harms international credibility + dept of dialogue</li> <li>How to increase /nurture diversity and inclusion on student/staff intake?</li> <li>Hiring /HR practice to support non Nordic staff retention</li> <li>Lack of knowledge and interest of national debates</li> <li>Not so much publication activity</li> <li>Lack of snaring ideas</li> <li>Too much focus on funding and economy</li> <li>A difference in the conceptualization of what is "artistic research"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of openness</li> <li>Silo cultures</li> <li>Hidden networks</li> <li>Individuelle personbaserte nettverk – institutt/miljø må krysses</li> <li>De fleste kontaktpunkteter og aktiviteter er ikke på KMD</li> <li>Tid til investering i langsiktige samarbeid</li> <li>Tid til å utvikle samarbeid utenfor "feltet", eks kommunen</li> <li>Bad feelings between admin + teaching staff</li> <li>Bad feelings between art and design</li> <li>Miscommunication/ cultural differences</li> <li>Research plattforms for KU, nasjonal, International</li> <li>Generation disparity, Generation Z, snowflake (digitalization etc)</li> </ul>
Muligheter           • Work cross disciplinary, integrate art within scientific research           • Common events that are attractive to the public           • Seek national and international collaboration           • Better information           • Potential to use international staff's networks           • Include society in processes/workshops           • Establish unformal arenas/meeting points for art and design           • Establish classes within Continuing Education (EVU)	<ul> <li>Work truly cross disciplinary, integrate art research within scientific research, create "Field stations"</li> <li>More state supported institutions organizations in cultures than commercial structures – allows more space for research rather than individual self-supporting security</li> <li>A lot of opportunity in culture within Bergen (Bergen Assembly, Pixel, etc.)</li> <li>Potential collaboration with outside cultural spaces (BEK, carte blanche, BIT etc.) more local interaction</li> <li>External funding: classes/activities run from alumni to give croquis, art classes within KDM to amateurs</li> <li>EVU</li> <li>Easy to include "offentligheten" in activities with the institution</li> </ul>	More organized common events and good advertisement     Focus on making our own venues attractive for the public     Hubs-platforms for sharing and finding information. System that reaches     everyone and make them connect – website, newsletter, talks etc.     Better information     More networking with other institutions     Potential to use/develop the international networks of the staff     Local collaboration     Potential of cross-disciplinary research activity across the university     Work with Bergen kommune, arts plan 2021-2030     Working with organizations that support non Nordic staff faculty artists	<ul> <li>Ikke bare søke ut, men invitere inn</li> <li>Seek local, national and international partnerships</li> <li>Inclusive processes (society)</li> <li>Including other groups in our workshops, Resources</li> <li>Synlighet //isibility in the community</li> <li>Under one roof (art and design)</li> <li>More informal, non hieratical interaction (access) to coffee machines, student bar etc.</li> <li>More sharing platforms – show not tell, work process</li> <li>Herd knowledge within fields (capacity) etc.</li> <li>Needed competence in society</li> <li>Smoother digital platforms (user friendliness)</li> <li>Pedagogical methodology – course, institutional</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Funding at government level and the education system</li> <li>Temporary positions /lack of presence at department arenas</li> <li>Lack of interest of cooperation and resistance of sharing</li> <li>Not participating in the public discourse</li> <li>Lack of local art criticism (focus on Osio)</li> <li>Lack of dissemination of artistic activity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Very low presence on media critics (kunstkritikk) – they mostly focus on Oslo</li> <li>Nødvendig synlighet for å legitimere og ivareta virksomhetens mål</li> <li>Formidling av kunstnerisk aktivitet</li> <li>Presence /lilstedeværelse i Bergen</li> <li>Lack of trust</li> <li>Massive issues with payment and contracts for staff and guests – very negative impact on KDM's reputation</li> <li>Art as societal glue /fills a vacuum – artist as problem solvers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>General growth system in education</li> <li>Trends in educations and funding at government level + no recognition of how we can organize to change this</li> <li>Not all staff are present at the departments arenas</li> <li>To many temporary positions</li> <li>Overall system that does not facilitate but stifle communication and give more workload and less overview (limits spread and attention</li> <li>Geographical location in city – separate from the city center</li> <li>Not enough focus on mediation</li> <li>The art world not interested in artistic research</li> </ul>	Local presence engagement in the environment in Bergen     Not writing in newspapers etc likke delta i det offentlige ordskiftet     Time commitment capacity (damage risk of incomplete or bad PR/content)     Usynliggjøring, mindre finansiering     Nasjonal politikk og global ideologi     Grunnskoleutdannelse og mangel på gode videregående skoler     Instrumental thinking     Manglende interesse for samarbeid inne i institusjonen og/eller på vegne av     institusjonen     Protectionism – resistance to sharing     Kunstenisk finket, kakademisk finket. Samfunnsrelevans? Hva er relevant?     Unclear and misused communication systems     Economic autonomy? Institution, department, as teacher     Supersedence of systems (fallibility)     Kunstens nytte?

### FORSKNING

#### Stvrker

- Open-minded
- Open for experiment / new collaborations

Svakheter

Language / local focus

isolated

(in research)

Instituttleder

Forskningsleder

Personalansvar

- We have a diverse staff with different fields
- · High research percentage in contracts

# Open-minded

. Generous support / financial conditions

Innspill

- Good practice / Nordic history phd · A focus on visual arts as a specific area of knowledge · Freedom to choose own research Idylic environment / nature culture / geography · Instituttet verner en samlet forestilling om artistic research Faglig frihet = relevant for fagmiljø Applying for funding (research – BOA) is supported by administration Research close to one's individual practice Den norske modellen Forskeskolen lager godt nettverk nasionalt og på tvers av PKU Open for experiment / new collaborations fordypninger · Phd courses shared by other peers on other institutions We have a diverse staff with different fields Through the phd program, national meeting, summer school Internnational staff. Network - knowledge -> expansion Sterke fagmiljø · Sterk faglig kompetanse KU dekanat · Access to financial support · Research leaders available for help with applications · High research percentage in contracts Phd programme KU · Meetings to discuss research UiB + KMD – scale capacity (profile etc) · Diversity of community Individual responsibiliites (finding possibilities creating collaboration) Lack of common discourse / terminology · Unclear definition of artistic research · Difficult to find relevant information (financial opportunities, who does what, who will help) Weak culture for sharing Distance between researcher and workshop / workshop leaders Instrumentalization from UiB/KMD economically · Lack of clarity of how funding applications should be shaped Lack of meeting point and exchange of research focus - difficulty in Unclear definition of "academic environment" · Lack of overview, change of phd administration allocating time Lite strategisk styrt, vanskelig nasionalt + internasionalt + tverrfakultært · We could use more training in research paradigms so assumptions Structure: Needs of clear understanding and awarness from each · Lack of supportive / strategic leadership (in research) of research are clarified + strengthen dissemination + evaluation Disorganization + lack of clarity + leadership within planning and teacing individual roles Instituttleder Lack of communication miscomprehension & miscomprehension of · We need clarification of what distinguishes art from artistic research Forskningsleder toolsfor linking staff and students at both departmant and faculty level Personalansvar · Forskerskolen har lite kontakt internasjonalt, litt I Norden men er · Forskningsledelse - forvaltning av forskningen - synergi Phd defence failures "lukket" rundt seg selv nasionalt. · Forskningsledelse - kompetanse Mismatch between the external evaluation commity and the phd Kompetanse – prosiektledelse stab program Karriereutvikling Workshop regulations for use - no art scholl but health and safety Karriereutvikling school Tidsplanlegging – ind forskning • Ressurser Constant restructuring / change / renewal · Division of KMD is counter to interdisciplinary agenda
- . Very slow administration / chaotic communication
- . Language / local focus

.

.

•

•

•

.

•

- Forskningsledelse forvaltning av Small fields of research, feeling alone / isolated Far away from the rest of the world
- forskningen svnergi Forskningsledelse - kompetanse

Small fields of research, feeling alone /

Lite strategisk styrt, vanskelig nasionalt

Lack of supportive / strategic leadership

leadership within planning and teacing

· Far away from the rest of the world

+ internasionalt + tverrfakultært

Disorganization + lack of clarity +

- Kompetanse prosiektledelse stab

# Muligheter

- Possibility to work in many fields / directions transdisciplinary
- Experimental freedom work outside the box
- Interdiciplinary, crossdiciplinary -> both within department and faculty
- Crossdiciplinary (embedded withing the university)

- Workshop facilities (but HSE restrictions)
- Peer to peer experience and research within the workshops (between researchers and workshop technicians)
- Possibility to work in many fields / directions • transdisciplinary
  - Experimental freedom work outside the box
  - Interdiciplinary, crossdiciplinary -> both within department and faculty
  - Crossdiciplinary (embedded withing the university)

- A strong profile build on the portfolio of staff projects
- · How the phd research projects could be more facilitated in teaching
- KMD har very good work shops How they are put to use can be a challenge for research
- · In art you need a strong structure as an institution for large projects. Could they be strengthened?
- More cross diciplinary research across UiB / other institutions
- · Fasilitere mer støtte til kandidatene / kunstnerenes egne nettverk. Og støtte reise / internasjonal utveksling
- Using open calls to develop some specific medium / discipline area
- · To have open calls for phd candidates not related to any research project (open invitation)

· Distribusion av resultater, avt. Med for forlag? Serie av utgivelser?

· Having many tasks that don't account for research component of contract (in terms of time)

New system for research leadership

Lack of interest in distribution of research projects (books etc)

· KU som fortsatt lite synlig innen forskning. UiB har en job å gjøre Manglende nasjonal finansiering (NFR) PKU?

Discipline (sub) competency

· Lack of dedicated department admin

 Ressurser forsk admin Ansettelser – strategisk forskning

• "Floating island" Focus area insularity

٠

Unstability (brain drain) research admin

- Research groups
- · Mer faglig arbeid forskningsgrupper, delingskultur
- · Room for competency training within budget
- In-house quest researchers who also teach in addition to phd program